

## REDUCING LATENCY WHEN ACCESSING TASK PRIORITY LEVELS

### Inventors:

5

Chris Ruemmler and Jonathan Ross

### NOTICE REGARDING COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

A portion of the disclosure of this patent document contains  
10 material which is subject to copyright protection. The copyright owner has no  
objection to the facsimile reproduction by anyone of the patent document or the  
patent disclosure as it appears in the Patent and Trademark Office file or  
records, but otherwise reserves all copyright rights whatsoever.

15

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to microprocessors and  
operating systems.

20

#### Description of the Background Art

In general, when a central processing unit (CPU) of a computer  
system receives an interrupt, the CPU suspends its current operations, saves  
the status of its work, and transfers control to a special routine that contains the  
25 instructions for dealing with the particular situation that caused the interrupt.  
Interrupts might be generated by various hardware devices to request service or  
to report problems, or by the CPU itself in response to program errors or  
requests for operating system services. Interrupts are the CPU's way of  
communicating with the other elements that make up the computer system. A  
30 hierarchy of interrupt priorities determines which interrupt request will be handled  
first, if more than one request has been made. Particular programs can

temporarily disable some interrupts, when the program needs the full attention of the processor to complete a particular task.

An interrupt can be considered a feature of a computer that permits the execution of one program to be interrupted in order to execute another  
5 program. That other program might be a special program that is executed when a specific interrupt occurs, sometimes called an interrupt handler. Interrupts from different causes have different handlers to carry out the corresponding tasks, such as updating the system clock or reading the keyboard. A table stored in memory contains pointers, sometimes called address vectors, which direct the  
10 CPU to the various interrupt handlers. Programmers can create interrupt handlers to replace or supplement existing handlers. Alternatively, that other program might be one that takes place only when requested by means of an interrupt, sometimes called an interrupt-driven process. After the required task has been completed, the CPU is then free to perform other tasks until the next  
15 interrupt occurs. Interrupt driven processors sometimes are used to respond to such events as a floppy-disk drive having become ready to transfer data.

Computers typically include a hardware line, sometimes called an interrupt request line, over which devices such as a keyboard or a disk drive can send interrupts to the CPU. Such interrupt request lines are built into the  
20 computer's internal hardware, and are assigned different levels of priority so that the CPU can determine the sources and relative importance of incoming service requests. The manner in which a particular computer deals with interrupts, is determined by the computer's interrupt controller. Early interrupt controllers were hard-wired in the computer. As such, their operation was fixed by the computer  
25 manufacturer, and could not be altered. More recent interrupt controllers are typically programmable.

In certain microprocessors manufactured by Intel Corporation of Santa Clara, California, an advanced programmable interrupt controller (APIC) is included in the CPU. The recently introduced Itanium™ microprocessor, also  
30 manufactured by Intel Corporation, is a CPU under the Intel IPF processor architecture. The IPF architecture includes a streamlined advanced programmable interrupt controller (SAPIC). Both the APIC and the SAPIC include a local mask register called a task priority register (TPR) that has eight

bits to designate up to 256 priority states, although some of them are reserved. The data in the TPR is changed to reflect the level of priority of the tasks being performed by the processor.

FIG. 1 illustrates a schematic diagram of an example computer system implementing a SAPIC interrupt routing scheme. The computer system **100** may include a single processor **101**, as shown, or a plurality of processors. The processor **101** may be, for example, a CPU from Intel Corporation, such as one with the Intel IPF processor architecture. The processor **101** is coupled to a bus **110** that transmits data signals between the processor **101** and other components in the computer system **100**.

The memory **113** may comprise a dynamic random access memory (DRAM) device, a static random access memory (SRAM) device, and/or other memory devices. The memory **113** stores data signals that may be executed by the processor **101**. A bridge memory controller **111** is coupled to the bus **110** and the memory **113**. The bridge memory controller **111** directs data traffic between the processor **101**, the memory **113**, and other components in the computer system **100** and bridges signals from these components to a high-speed input/output (I/O) bus **120**.

The computer system **100** includes a bus bridge **123** configured to deliver interrupts using the SAPIC interrupt delivery scheme. The bus bridge **123** is connected to the peripheral devices on the I/O bus **130** via a plurality of interrupt request ("IRQ") lines **163-165**. A first IRQ line **163** connects the bus bridge **123** with the data storage device **131**. A second IRQ line **164** connects the bus bridge **123** with the keyboard interface **132**. A third IRQ line **165** connects the bus bridge **123** with the audio controller. When a peripheral on the I/O bus **130** requires the processor **101** to perform a service, the peripheral device transmits an interrupt request to the bus bridge **123** by asserting its corresponding IRQ line. The bus bridge **123** forwards the interrupt to the interrupt router **140** coupled to the high speed I/O bus **120** via one of the plurality of IRQ lines **154**. The interrupt router **140** reformats the interrupt into an interrupt message and transmits the interrupt message over the high speed I/O bus **120**. Interrupt messages are transmitted as posted memory writes from the high speed I/O bus **120** to the CPU bus **110**.

The interrupt router is connected to peripherals on the high speed I/O bus **120** via a plurality of Peripheral Component Interconnect interrupt request lines ("PIRQ") **161-162**. A first PIRQ line **161** connects the network controller **121** to the interrupt router **140**. A second PIRQ line **162** connects the display device controller **122** to the interrupt router **140**. When a peripheral on the high speed I/O bus **120** requires the processor **101** to perform a service, the peripheral device transmits an interrupt request to the interrupt router **140** by asserting its corresponding PIRQ line. The interrupt router **140** reformats the interrupt into an interrupt message and transmits the interrupt message over the high speed I/O bus **120**. Interrupt messages are transmitted as posted memory writes from the high speed I/O bus **120** to the CPU bus **110**.

FIG. 2 is a flow diagram depicting a logical process where a task priority register (TPR) is utilized under the SAPIC architecture of an Intel IPF processor. The flow diagram is entered via the line **210** in response to an Interrupt Vector Register (IVR) being read. The first illustrated control action is determining whether a non-maskable interrupt is present (the decision block **220**). If a non-maskable interrupt is present, it is returned in the IVR (the process block **230**).

If, on the other hand, a non-maskable interrupt is not present, then the decision block **240** is entered. The decision is made whether the TPR has disabled the interrupts present, or, whether the Highest Pending Interrupt (HPI) is less than, or equal to, the Highest Servicing Interrupt (HSI). If the result of the decision block **240** is yes, then the spurious vector is returned in the IVR (process block **250**). For example, in one implementation, a specific vector number is reserved to indicate a spurious vector. With respect to decision block **240**, note that HPI might equal HSI because, in operation, an interrupt source might send an interrupt to the controller while the controller is in the process of service an interrupt previously received from that device, or more than one interrupt source might be programmed with the same interrupt vector.

If the outcome of the decision block **240** is no, that is, if TPR has not disabled the interrupts present and HPI is greater than HSI, then the Interrupt Service Register (ISR) bit corresponding to the highest priority interrupt, which in one implementation is the top-most vector in the Interrupt Request Register

(IRR), is set. In addition, the IRR bit corresponding to the highest priority interrupt is cleared. Also, the top-most vector (the vector for the highest priority interrupt) in the IRR is returned in the IVR.

5

### SUMMARY

One embodiment of the invention relates to a method of reducing access latency to a task priority register (TPR) of a local programmable interrupt controller unit within a microprocessor. A command is received to write an interrupt mask value to the TPR, and the interrupt mask value is written to the TPR. In addition, the interrupt mask value is also written into a shadow copy of the TPR. The shadow copy is written each time that the TPR is written.

Another embodiment of the invention relates to a method of reducing a latency to read a TPR of an IPF type microprocessor. When a command is received to read an interrupt mask value from the TPR, the interrupt mask value is read from the shadow copy at a memory location, instead of from the task priority register itself.

Another embodiment of the invention relates to an operating system with reduced access latency to a task priority register of a local programmable interrupt controller unit within a microprocessor. Microprocessor-executable code is configured to write a priority level to the task priority register. In addition, microprocessor-executable code is also configured to write the priority level into a shadow copy of the task priority register. The shadow copy is written each time that the task priority register is written.

Another embodiment of the invention relates to an operating system with reduced latency to read a task priority register of a local programmable interrupt controller unit within a microprocessor. Microprocessor-executable code is configured read the interrupt mask value from the shadow copy at a memory location, instead of from the task priority register itself.

Another embodiment of the invention relates to a multiple-processor computer system. Multiple microprocessors are interconnected by a processor bus. Each microprocessor includes a task priority register (TPR) with a interrupt mask value for that microprocessor. A memory system, including local cache memory on each microprocessor and a main memory, holds data

including an operating system and shadow copies of the TPRs. The operating system includes executable-code for reading the interrupt mask values from the shadow copies and for maintaining the shadow copies.

Another embodiment of the invention relates to a method of  
5 reducing latency to write a task priority register within a microprocessor. Upon receiving a command to write an interrupt mask value to the task priority register, the interrupt mask value is written to the task priority register without performing a serialization directly thereafter. Upon receiving an interrupt, the serialization and reading an interrupt vector register are performed, wherein a spurious  
10 indicator is returned if the interrupt is maskable.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates a schematic diagram of an example computer  
15 system implementing a streamlined advanced programmable interrupt controller (SAPIC) interrupt routing scheme.

FIG. 2 is a flow diagram depicting a logical process where a task priority register (TPR) is utilized under the SAPIC architecture.

FIG. 3 is a flow diagram depicting a conventional method of writing  
20 a priority level to the TPR of an IPF type processor.

FIG. 4 is a flow diagram depicting a conventional method of reading a priority level from the TPR of an IPF type processor.

FIG. 5 is a flow diagram depicting a conventional method of processing an interrupt of an IPF type processor.

25 FIG. 6 is a flow diagram depicting a method of writing a priority level to the TPR of an IPF type processor in accordance with an embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 7 is a flow diagram depicting a method of reading a priority level to the TPR of an IPF type processor in accordance with an embodiment of  
30 the invention.

FIG. 8 is a flow diagram depicting a method of processing an interrupt of an IPF type processor in accordance with an embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 9 is a schematic diagram of a multiple processor computer system in accordance with an embodiment of the invention.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

5

As described below, one embodiment of the present invention relates to improving access to the task priority register (TPR) of an Intel IPF type processor. The TPR is useful in that, for example, nested interrupts may be implemented using it. For instance, using the TPR, a spin lock interrupt may be held, while letting a higher priority clock interrupt through.

FIG. 3 is a flow diagram depicting a conventional method of writing a priority level or interrupt mask value to the TPR of an IPF type processor. In accordance with the conventional method, when a command or instruction to write the interrupt mask to the TPR is received **302**, then two actions are performed. First, the interrupt mask is written **304** to the TPR. Second, to make sure that the most recent modified state is "visible", a serialize operation or serialization is then performed **306**. For example, the serialization may take the form of a "srlz.d" instruction in an IPF microprocessor. After the serialize instruction, the system is guaranteed to be in a consistent state such that reading values from registers will make sense. This is needed because multiple writes may be outstanding at one time to control registers in an IPF microprocessor.

FIG. 4 is a flow diagram depicting a conventional method of reading a priority level or interrupt mask value from the TPR of an IPF type processor. In accordance with the conventional method, when a command or instruction to read the interrupt mask from the TPR is received **402**, then the interrupt mask is read **404** from the the actual task priority register in the microprocessor.

The above-described conventional methods for writing and reading an interrupt mask value from the TPR under the SAPIC architecture of an Intel IPF processor work in that undesirable indeterminate states are avoided. However, applicants have discovered that these conventional methods typically resulted in thirty-six (36) cycle latencies to read or serialize the write of the TPR

in an IPF processor. Such a lengthy latency to access the TPR is substantially disadvantageous, especially when the TPR is frequently written to and/or read from.

FIG. 5 is a flow diagram depicting a method of writing a priority level or interrupt mask value to the TPR of an IPF type processor in accordance with an embodiment of the invention. When a command or instruction to write the interrupt mask to the TPR is received **302**, then two actions are performed. The first action is the same as in the conventional method, the interrupt mask is written **304** to the actual task priority register. However, the second action differs substantially. Here, the second action comprises writing **502** the same interrupt mask to a shadow copy of the TPR. The shadow copy is kept at a specific memory location.

In contrast with the conventional method of FIG. 3, no serialization is performed. Because no serialization is performed and because the serialization is the primary source of the long latency of the conventional method, applicants have discovered that the latency to write the TPR is reduced substantially using the method of FIG. 5.

The method of FIG. 5 is faster because it is a "lazy" method in that writing the TPR is not immediately followed by serialization. Normally, it would be expected that this "lazy" method would result, in some circumstances, in the occurrence of an invalid or indeterminate processor state. Fortunately, in accordance with an embodiment of the invention, such undesirable states are nonetheless avoidable as discussed further below.

FIG. 6 is a flow diagram depicting a method of reading a priority level or interrupt mask value from the TPR of an IPF type processor in accordance with an embodiment of the invention. When a command or instruction to read the interrupt mask from the TPR is received **302**, then the value is simply read **602** from the shadow copy of the TPR. The actual TPR is not accessed, instead the shadow copy is read.

In contrast, the conventional method of FIG. 4 reads the interrupt mask by accessing the actual TPR. Applicants have discovered that the latency to perform the read is reduced substantially by reading from the shadow copy, instead of accessing the actual TPR. If reads of the interrupt mask occur with



sufficient frequency, then the memory location of the shadow copy will be in local cache memory on the microprocessor, instead of in main memory. If, for example, the shadow copy is located in the first level cache, then only a single cycle would be needed to access the value therein, as opposed to the 36 cycle latency of the conventional method:

FIG. 7 is a flow diagram depicting a conventional method of processing an interrupt by an IPF type processor. When an interrupt is received **702**, a serialize operation is performed **704**. Instructions specific to the interrupt handler are then executed **706**. Thereafter, the processing returns **708** from the interrupt.

FIG. 8 is a flow diagram depicting a method of processing an interrupt by an IPF type processor in accordance with an embodiment of the invention. Similar to the conventional method, when an interrupt is received **702**, a serialize operation is performed **704**. Here, this serialization **704** is advantageously utilized to avoid potential problems that may otherwise occur due to the "lazy" write method of FIG. 5.

In addition, to ensure that the shadow copy of the interrupt mask is kept in synchronization with the task priority register contents, two additional steps are performed when initially starting the interrupt. First, the interrupt mask is read **802** from the task priority register. Second, the value that was just read is written **804** to the shadow copy of the TPR. This advantageously keeps the shadow copy up-to-date and avoids potential problems due to the case where an interrupt occurs after the task priority register is written **304** but before the shadow copy is written **502**.

In addition, the IVR may be read **806** by a first level interrupt handler to effectively block delivery of interrupts that may have arrived when the "in-flight" (not yet serialized) write of the interrupt mask would have masked the interrupt (but did not). Software expects that after raising the interrupt level, no such maskable interrupts will be delivered. The above-described "lazy" management of the processor resources by skipping serialization after TPR writes must still preserve the conventional behavior—in this case, blocking the delivery of maskable interrupts. The desired "blocked-interrupt" behavior may be achieved by reading **806** the IVR at the beginning of the first level interrupt

handler. Since the TPR was serialized **704** prior to the read **806**, a "spurious" value is read from the IVR if the interrupt is maskable. Upon receipt of such a "spurious" indicator, the first level interrupt handler may return to the interrupted context. The interrupt so aborted will remain pending in the processor to be  
 5 harvested by software or to cause another interrupt process when the interrupt level is lowered.

Thereafter, instructions specific to the interrupt handler are then executed **706**, and the processing returns **708** from the interrupt.

In accordance with an embodiment of the invention, substantial  
 10 performance improvement is achieved by the above because each TPR write can skip an expensive (performance wise) serialization. The number of TPR writes is typically greater by orders of magnitude than the arrival rate of interrupts, and only a fraction of interrupts will arrive in the window between a TPR write and when that write is actually visible to hardware. Hence, the small  
 15 number of spurious interrupts is much less of a performance penalty than the gain achieved by skipping large numbers of serializations. Note that this "lazy" serialization of TPR writes does not require using a shadow copy of the TPR. The lazy serialization of the TPR write may be performed by skipping the serialization of the TPR write and doing the serialization and IVR read in the first  
 20 level interrupt handler.

FIG. 9 is a schematic diagram of a multiple processor computer system in accordance with an embodiment of the invention. In FIG. 9, the multiple processor computer includes four microprocessors (P1 through P4), but other numbers of microprocessors may be included in other embodiments. Each  
 25 microprocessor PN **902-N**, includes a task priority register **904-N** and local cache memory **910-N**. The local memory **910-N** may include a shadow copy **912-N** of the local TPR. A shadow copy would be in the local memory if the local interrupt mask is being accessed with sufficient frequency. Otherwise, the shadow copy may be stored in a location in main memory.

30 In addition, a microprocessor or CPU bus **906** may interconnect the microprocessors **902**. A memory system **908** is also accessible by the microprocessor **904**. The memory system **908** may include a main memory, the local memories **910** in the processors, and other memory devices (hard disks

200311053-1

and so on). An operating system **920** is stored in the memory system **908**. The operating system **908** may include executable-code for reading the interrupt mask values from the shadow copies and for maintaining the shadow copies, as described in further detail above.

- 5                   The following is example source code that may be utilized in a routine within the operating system accordance with an embodiment of the invention. The code includes macros of instructions for setting and retrieving the task priority levels utilizing a shadow copy of the TPR.

200311053-1

```

/*
 * BEGIN_DESC
 *
 * File:
 *   @(#)    em/h/int_mask.h
 *
 * Purpose:
 *   This file contains macros for setting and retrieving the system interrupt
 *   mask.
 *
 * Classification:
 *   kernel private
 *
 *
 *
 * NOTE:
 *   This header file contains information specific to the internals
 *   of the HP-UX implementation. The contents of this header file
 *   are subject to change without notice. Such changes may affect
 *   source code, object code, or binary compatibility between
 *   releases of HP-UX. Code which uses the symbols contained within
 *   this header file is inherently non-portable (even between HP-UX
 *   implementations).
 *
 * END_DESC
 */

#ifdef __NO_EM_HDRS
    EM header file -- do not include this header file for non-EM builds.
#endif

#ifndef _MACHINE_H_INT_MASK_INCLUDED
#define _MACHINE_H_INT_MASK_INCLUDED

#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/spinlock.h>
#include <machine/h/getppdp_kernprivate.h>
#include <machine/sync/spl.h>
#include <machine/sys/kern_inline.h>
#include <machine/sys/reg_struct.h>    /* For NAT_ALIGN */

/*
 * Read the interrupt mask level. Just read the shadow copy which will
 * be identical to the value in the TPR register.
 */
#define GET_INT_MASK(regx) \
do { \
    uint64_t dummy;\
    regx = GETPPDP_ALIAS(dummy)->current_int_mask;\
} while(0);

/*
 * Write the interrupt mask. No need to serialize given lazy TPR
 * writes. Also write the shadow TPR value.
 */
#define SET_INT_MASK(regx) \
do { \
    uint64_t dummy;\
\
    u_long regx_l = (u_long) regx;\
    VASSERT(!spinlocks_held() || \
            (spinlocks_held() && (regx_l >= SPLSYS))); \
    MOV_TO_CR(CR_TPR, regx_l);\
    GETPPDP_ALIAS(dummy)->current_int_mask = regx_l;\
} while(0);

#endif /* _MACHINE_H_INT_MASK_INCLUDED */

```

In the above description, numerous specific details are given to provide a thorough understanding of embodiments of the invention. However,

the above description of illustrated embodiments of the invention is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise forms disclosed. One skilled in the relevant art will recognize that the invention can be practiced without one or more of the specific details, or with other methods, components, etc. In other instances, well-known structures or operations are not shown or described in detail to avoid obscuring aspects of the invention. While specific embodiments of, and examples for, the invention are described herein for illustrative purposes, various equivalent modifications are possible within the scope of the invention, as those skilled in the relevant art will recognize.

10                   These modifications can be made to the invention in light of the above detailed description. The terms used in the following claims should not be construed to limit the invention to the specific embodiments disclosed in the specification and the claims. Rather, the scope of the invention is to be determined by the following claims, which are to be construed in accordance  
15   with established doctrines of claim interpretation.